



UWC

**CONSULTANT
HANDBOOK**

2025-2026

The University Writing Center
Department of Rhetoric and Writing
The University of Texas at Austin

The University Writing Center

Contact Information

Main Phone Number: (512) 471-6222

Chat Line Number: (512) 488-1457

Fax Number: (512) 232-2719

Campus Mail Code: G3000

Mailing Address:

The University Writing Center
The University of Texas at Austin
Learning Commons, PCL 2.330
101 E. 21st St., Stop G3000
Austin, TX 78713

Staff Listserv: uwcstaff@utlists.utexas.edu

Administrative Staff Contacts:

| | | | |
|-----------------|--|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Jackie Rhodes | j.rhodes@austin.utexas.edu | 512-232-4152 | Director |
| Alice Batt | alicebatt@utexas.edu | 512-232-2730 | Assistant Director |
| Michele Solberg | micsol@austin.utexas.edu | 512-232-2725 | Operations Manager |
| Tristin Hooker | tristinhooker@utexas.edu | 5122324258 | Graduate Writing Coordinator |
| Emma Watson | emma.watson@austin.utexas.edu | 512-471-4031 | Administrative Associate |
| Erin Akins | uwcerinakins@utexas.edu | | Assistant Program Coordinator |
| Maggie Hawkins | uwcmmaggiehawkins@utexas.edu | | Assistant Program Coordinator |
| Niko Popow | nicko.popow@utexas.com | | Assistant Program Coordinator |

| | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Hannah Halford | halfordh@utexas.edu | Presentations Coordinator |
| Rachel Spencer | rlspencer@utexas.edu | Presentations Coordinator |
| Mary Fons | mfons@utexas.edu | <i>Praxis</i> Associate Editor |
| Sam Turner | turnersam@utexas.edu | <i>Praxis</i> Assistant Editor |
| Michaela Perneti | mperneti@utexas.edu | Graduate Services Assistant |

Emergency phone numbers are posted at the front desk and in the break room.

| | |
|--|-----------|
| The University Writing Center | 2 |
| Contact Information | 2 |
| Chapter One: The UWC | 6 |
| History | 6 |
| Mission | 7 |
| Policies | 7 |
| Populations Served | 7 |
| Degree of Help | 8 |
| The Writer's Ownership of the Text | 8 |
| Confidentiality | 8 |
| Computer Policies | 8 |
| AI-Use Policy | 9 |
| Job Descriptions | 9 |
| Dates and Hours of Operation | 11 |
| Chapter Two: Administrative Aspects of Being a Consultant | 12 |
| Consultant Responsibilities | 12 |
| UWC Work Basics | 12 |
| Scheduling | 12 |
| Time Reports | 13 |
| Using Slack | 13 |
| Missing a Shift | 13 |
| Remote Work Requests | 14 |
| Absence Form | 14 |
| Makeup Hours | 15 |
| Maintaining a Professional Ethos | 15 |
| Grounds for Termination | 15 |
| Training | 15 |
| Incoming (New) Consultant Training | 15 |
| All Consultant Training | 16 |
| Observations | 16 |
| Opportunities | 17 |
| Join a Project Group | 17 |
| Resources | 18 |
| Resources for Professional Development | 19 |
| Resources for Working with Writers | 20 |
| Space | 20 |
| Chapter Three: The Consultation | 22 |
| The Three Principles of Consulting | 22 |
| The Consultant-Writer Relationship | 23 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Differences between Consultants and Instructors | 23 |
| Differences between Consultants and Peer Reviewers | 23 |
| Graduate Consultants and Their Students | 24 |
| Undergraduate Consultants and Their Classmates | 24 |
| The Chronology of a Consultation | 24 |
| Consultant Procedures for 2025-26 | 25 |
| Content Warning Procedures | 25 |
| Assess the Situation | 25 |
| Greet the Writer and Set the Tone | 26 |
| Explain our Process | 26 |
| Ask Questions | 27 |
| Set Priorities | 29 |
| Choose a Reading Strategy | 31 |
| Each strategy has pros and cons. | 31 |
| Center the Student | 33 |
| Possible Strategies for Three Common Consultation Scenarios | 34 |
| Engage with the Paper and Consult | 35 |
| End the Consultation | 38 |
| Tips for Ending a Consultation at 45 Minutes | 38 |
| Complete the Consultation Record (the Note) | 39 |
| Consulting with Multilingual Students | 39 |
| Working with Students with Disabilities | 41 |
| Online Consultations | 41 |
| Final Note on Consulting Strategies | 41 |
| Chapter Four: Completing the Consultation Record | 43 |
| Steps to Complete the Consultation Record | 43 |
| Indicating Mode of Consultation | 43 |
| Indicating Type of Paper | 43 |
| Indicating Certification Topic | 43 |
| Indicating Kinds of Work Done | 43 |
| Comments to the Admin Team | 43 |
| Completing the Brief Description of Work Done (the “Note”) | 44 |
| Why Write Notes? | 44 |
| The Ideal Note | 44 |
| A Suggested Note Template | 45 |
| Common Note-Writing Challenges | 46 |
| Appendix 1: Getting Your Questions Answered | 47 |
| Appendix 2: Selected Readings on Writing Center Theory and Practice | 48 |

Chapter One: The UWC

History

The University Writing Center had its beginnings in the widely publicized events leading to the creation of the Division of Rhetoric and Writing at The University of Texas in 1993. Until that time, the University's required first-year composition course (E 306, now RHE 306) was offered and overseen by the Department of English. Debate about the 306 curriculum in 1989 and 1990 led to a study by the University Council (now Faculty Council) Committee on the Undergraduate Experience. On January 21, 1992, the Committee submitted a report recommending "that a Division of Rhetoric and Writing be established in the College of Liberal Arts that would be responsible for the administration, staffing, and teaching of first-year and advanced composition courses." Following President Cunningham's approval of the new division in August, the DRW began operating on June 1, 1993. Incoming faculty stipulated the creation of a writing center as a condition for their involvement in the DRW, and thus the Undergraduate Writing Center was born.

For the 1993-1994 school year, the UWC operated out of a room in Parlin Hall and served only students in English and DRW classes. Professor Sara Kimball, the Writing Center's founding director, along with Scarlett Bowen and Randi Voss, set up UWC policies and practices with significant help from the Temple University Writing Center. On January 28, 1994, the University Council Committee to Examine the University Writing Program submitted a proposal to "fully implement the Undergraduate Writing Center as soon as possible" as part of its efforts to support and improve the teaching of Substantial Writing Component classes. By that time, plans were already under way to move the Center from Parlin Hall to the Flawn Academic Center. On September 21, 1994, the UWC began operating in the Flawn Academic Center.

Further expansion of the UWC's mission and physical space began in the 2000-2001 school year. In summer 2001, the UWC formed a partnership with the Office of Graduate Studies' Intellectual Entrepreneurship Program to explore providing graduate writing services in select departments. In January 2001, the UWC received a \$300,000 grant from the Houston Endowment to expand UWC outreach to instructors of Substantial Writing Component courses. This grant funded the creation of an SWC Resource Coordinator position to support SWC faculty directly. The FAC space doubled in size after an expansion project was completed in June 2001.

In 2015, the Undergraduate Writing Center became the University Writing Center, a name change reflecting the expansion of its services to include workshops for faculty and services for graduate students. The UWC also received funding from the College of Liberal Arts to hire graduate student consultants from departments across campus and to launch the Course Specialist Consultant program, in which specially trained undergraduates served as designated writing consultants for UT courses. In August 2015, the UWC relocated from FAC 211 to the new Learning Commons in Perry-Castañeda

Library. The new space offers approximately four times the square footage of FAC 211, windows, and proximity to multimedia learning labs and other library services.

Mission

Maybe in a perfect world, all writers would have their own ready auditor—a teacher, a classmate, a roommate, an editor—who would not only listen but draw them out, ask them questions they would not think to ask themselves. A writing center is an institutional response to this need.

—Stephen North, “The Idea of a Writing Center”

The University Writing Center, a unit of the Department of Rhetoric and Writing, is oriented toward helping UT students become more proficient, more versatile, and more confident in their writing abilities. We do so by providing one-on-one writing consultations to students, supporting the faculty teaching writing, giving presentations to classes, developing handouts, and employing undergraduate and graduate student consultants.

The help we provide is intended to foster independence. We do not, therefore, revise, edit, or proofread student papers. Instead, we teach students how to revise, edit, and proofread their own work more accurately and efficiently. The advice we give in consultations is professional but nondirective. The invention of ideas and supporting statements remains the writer’s responsibility, and the consultation itself belongs to the student. Because student visits are confidential, students have access to our records of their work with us, and we notify instructors of their students’ visits only if students ask us to.

We also view as central to our mission the support of faculty who teach undergraduate courses. Every semester we send Writing Flag and other faculty a letter informing them of our services, and throughout the semester we support them indirectly by working with their students. In addition, we give presentations and workshops for the classes of faculty members who request our services.

Policies

Populations Served

Our consulting services are available to any undergraduate or graduate student currently enrolled at UT Austin, as well as any postdoc working here. Students can use the UWC for one semester (including summer sessions) after graduation. We offer writing groups and retreats for graduate students and postdocs, and workshops for faculty and graduate students. In addition, we offer advice and materials, both in print and online, for faculty teaching undergraduate courses and for college-level writers.

Degree of Help

Since our goal is to develop writers rather than writing, we do not edit, proofread, or rewrite their papers. We do, however, discuss all aspects of the writing process from brainstorming through drafting, revising, and final editing. To discourage over-dependence, we recommend that students have no more than three sessions on each writing project that is 10 pages long or less. We impose appointment limits (by day, week, or month) when we are fully booked in order to ensure that we can provide access to all writers who want to use our service.

We work on any kind of writing undergraduate or graduate students bring us, including employment and graduate school applications and personal and public writing not assigned for class. We can work with writers on take-home exams if their instructors have not prohibited them from visiting the UWC, as long as we maintain our non-directive approach. We do not work with writers whose work is due fewer than two hours from the time the consultation begins.

The Writer's Ownership of the Text

Although the consultant acts as the expert in a writing consultation, the writer should always be the one in control. In order to successfully collaborate with a writer without colluding, we must work to preserve the writer's ownership of the text. Likewise, a writer who always stays in control of the work will have an easier time practicing independently the strategies learned during a consultation. Thus, only the writer should generate the prose and the arguments. While we can offer opinions and suggestions about what might work best, ultimately the writer must decide how to construct the paper. Refer to "The Chronology of a Consultation" starting on page 26 for some ways to help ensure the writer maintains ownership of the text.

Confidentiality

Consultation records are confidential; they will not be released without writers' permission. We do, however, encourage writers to let us communicate with their instructors through notes describing work conducted in sessions. Our notes are correspondence with the student, and we only copy the note to the instructor if the student requests that we do so. These notes should be our only communication with the instructors about our consultations. If you have concerns that you would like to communicate to the instructor (such as suspicions of plagiarism), please communicate these concerns to the writer instead. Lastly, UWC consultants and staff are mandatory reporters under Title IX. For more information about what type content from a consultation a consultant would need to report to UT's Title IX office, see Chapter Three, section "Engage with the Paper and Consult", subsection "Pointing Out Sensitive Material."

Computer Policies

UWC's location in the Learning Commons makes it much more public. Fifty thousand students are able to log into our computers whenever PCL is open. All logins to floor computers will be done with your EID. For this reason, it will be very important that consultants log out after each consultation. We cannot guarantee the security of computer activities that might be done while your EID remains logged in.

AI-Use Policy

At the UWC, our goal is to help student writers develop and enhance their own unique writing processes. To do so, we help these writers at any stage of their process while offering non-evaluative, non-directive feedback. Our goal is the same if students use generative AI (like ChatGPT). The UWC offers individualized human-to-human sessions and consultants *should not use generative AI* to guide the session. We recognize that for some, generative AI can be an accessibility tool; for others, it can be a tool of convenience. Our commitment is to work with student writing, not the AI-generated or AI-enhanced text. In any case of AI-generated or AI-enhanced text, we will focus on *your* process and *your* writing. This is true even if the instructor allows generative-AI use.

Job Descriptions

Director. Jackie Rhodes. The director is the chief administrator of the UWC, charged with ensuring the effective operation of the unit. The director is ultimately responsible for all policy, staffing, and budgetary matters involving the Center. The director also communicates with other administrators, faculty, and the larger public.

Assistant Director. Alice Batt. The assistant director is responsible for the day-to-day running of the UWC. Along with the director, the assistant director initiates long-range planning for the Center and participates in the training of graduate and undergraduate consultants. Most of the assistant director's time is spent hiring, supervising, and ensuring evaluation of a staff of 80 or more, and teaching RHE368C: Writing Center Internship. The rest is spent reacting to the unpredictable and immediate demands of the UWC (putting out fires).

Operations Manager. Michele Solberg. The operations manager oversees the day-to-day details of the Writing Center and takes care of accounting issues, scheduling, appointments, supplies, the front desk, and other questions or problems that arise. She also oversees the technology in the UWC. She is a full-time employee and often works in a human resources capacity.

Graduate Writing Coordinator. Tristin Hooker. The Graduate Writing Coordinator meets one-on-one with graduate student writers, trains and evaluates peer graduate consultants, and organizes workshops, writing groups and retreats for graduate students. She delivers presentations on graduate writing topics across campus.

Administrative Associate. Emma Watson. The administrative associate serves as the front desk receptionist at the UWC and oversees UWC data. They answer phones, direct guests, and respond appropriately to questions from visitors. They also assist students with check-in, provide accurate, dependable answers to questions, assist the Director and Assistant Director with scheduling events and activities throughout the year, keep the space organized and open on time regularly and dependably, help with webpage maintenance by checking daily for accuracy and completeness, help with training and supervising students assisting the front desk, package Writing Center data for Department classes, assist the Director with any business/data reports she may need to present to faculty, campus administrators, non-profits, or

to colleagues at conferences, and finally, create and modify any staff performance tools the Center might need.

Assistant Program Coordinators. Erin Akins, Maggie Hawkins, and Niko Popow. In addition to consultants, the UWC employs three graduate students as assistant program coordinators (APCs). They work on projects that improve the quality and breadth of the UWC's services to writers. For consultants, they offer additional guidance and support, answering any questions or concerns they may have particularly regarding difficult consultations. APCs coordinate and act as liaisons for committees, such as Praxis and Presentations. Some of their tasks include publicizing the UWC, organizing orientation, training consultants, initiating and sustaining research and assessment projects, and attending other meetings. Each APC typically takes on a special project or group, including UWC social media, consultant certification, outreach, and the UWC research team.

Praxis Managing Editors. Sam Turner and Mary Fons. Praxis is run by two managing editors, both serving staggered two-year terms. Both associate and assistant Praxis managing editors serve as primary contacts with submitting authors, associate and copy-editors, blog authors, and members of the writing center community in general. Between them they coordinate the publication of three issues of Praxis per year, one blog post every two weeks, and the curation of the Praxis research exchange, PRX. Praxis managing editors solicit blog posts from UWC consultants, members of the wider UT community, and scholars from other colleges and universities, and managing editors are also responsible for soliciting data from researchers involved in quantitative writing center research. The associate Praxis editor also chairs the Editorial Committee and is closely involved in publication-related professionalization opportunities within the UWC, while the assistant Praxis editor coordinates with multiple committees whose purviews closely connect them to the Praxis mission. The Praxis team offers copyediting workshops each semester for UWC consultants who want to be involved in copyediting for Praxis.

Presentations Co-Coordinator. Hannah Halford and Rachel Spencer. Two graduate student employees chair and run the Presentations Committee. Their primary duties include creating and conducting presentations, training Presentation Committee members, fielding and scheduling presentation requests, and facilitating UWC outreach ventures. They also regularly review and revise the UWC collection of handouts.

Graduate Services Assistant. Michaela Perneti. The primary responsibility of the Graduate Services Assistant is to help plan, coordinate, and run the UWC's current graduate programs and events, including writing retreats, workshops, writing groups, and presentations. They may also assist in the training of new consultants, create new initiatives, and develop additional avenues for outreach and publicity.

Consultant. Consultants' primary task is to collaborate with UT undergraduate and graduate students in one-on-one consultations to help them improve as writers. They assist with day-to-day operations, like staffing the front desk. In addition, consultants conduct original writing center-related research and contribute to ongoing projects in the Center, such as project groups and public relations efforts.

Student Assistant. The UWC hires undergraduates to work as student assistants at the front desk. They check in students, help students book appointments, troubleshoot technical issues with online appointments, and answer questions for visitors. They also help to maintain the UWC physical space and the supplies that consultants use.

Dates and Hours of Operation

The UWC opens on the first-class day of each semester and remains open through the first week of finals. In the 2025-2026 school year, we will open for the fall on August 25 and close on December 12. In the spring semester, we will open on January 12 and close on May 1. We will not conduct consultations during fall break or spring break.

The UWC, located in PCL 2.330, is open Monday through Thursday from 10 a.m. to 8 p.m. and Friday from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. The UWC stops accepting writers for consultations one hour before closing time

Chapter Two: Administrative Aspects of Being a Consultant

Consultant Responsibilities

Writing consultants work on an individual basis with writers who come to the UWC; they may also act as guides and a resource for writers working in groups on collaborative projects. Consulting includes maintaining accurate consultation records and providing a note to the writer's instructor when the writer requests that a note be sent. (See Chapter Four for more on keeping records and writing instructor notes.) Also, when the situation requires, writing consultants take turns doing other jobs, including promotional tabling. When not working with writers, consultants help out with administrative tasks such as folding brochures, designing and distributing flyers, and maintaining the cleanliness of the UWC.

Consultants may also serve on various project groups around the UWC. Groups include the Praxis copyeditors, Publicity and Social Media Team, Research Team, and Outreach and Access Team. Project groups provide voluntary opportunities to pursue writing-related interests backed by the support and resources of the UWC. They meet during UWC hours to discuss current projects and future initiatives.

Because we invite instructors to request UWC presentations and workshops, consultants should also be ready to respond to inquiries about them. Instructors should be directed to the Presentations page on the UWC website where they can submit an online request.

UWC Work Basics

Prospective undergraduate consultants must first take RHE 368C, the Writing Center Internship course, in Spring. The director and the coordinator will decide who from the class to hire as paid consultants. Undergraduate consultants usually work in the Writing Center for about ten hours per week but can work anywhere from two to fifteen hours per week.

Graduate students from any department can apply to work 10 or 20 hours per week at the UWC. Many students use a UWC appointment to get to the 20-hour requirement for insurance.

Scheduling

The UWC's operations manager, Michele Solberg, is responsible for scheduling staff at the beginning of each semester. Prior to the start of each semester, Michele will send an email to all consultants with an online form to fill out that will allow consultants to block out times in which they are unable to work at the UWC and to indicate their work preferences. In general, shifts will be no more than 3 consecutive hours per day without a half-hour break, and consulting shifts must be a minimum of two hours long. Be

aware that you will be required to conform your schedule to the scheduling needs of the UWC, including possibly taking on hours during the morning and early afternoon, when we are most busy. If you need to make permanent schedule changes during the course of the semester, fill out a schedule request change form.

Time Reports

As of January 2025, all graduate and undergraduate employees are paid on an hourly basis. They are required to complete time reports indicating how many hours they have worked during each two-week pay period. Employees should log hours on Workday (accessible through UTDirect and the utexas website), as covered during orientation. Please reach operations manager, Michele Solberg, with any questions about completing time reports. The only exceptions to this rule are the *Praxis* editors, who are classified as RAs and do not fill out timesheets.

Using Slack

Using Slack is especially important to maintain communication with the admin team and front desk staff. All consultants are required to log on and be available for messages in Slack during their shifts. Slack may also be used to facilitate discussion groups as a part of our ongoing training this year. Consultants are also encouraged to use Slack to socialize and maintain connections with other UWC employees.

Missing a Shift

If you are scheduled for a shift, it is important that you make your best effort to attend. **All anticipated absences should be requested with as much notice as possible, and no later than 24 hours in advance of the shift you will miss.** This is a professional standard that eases the rescheduling burden placed on the front desk and prevents unnecessary cancellations. In the case of an **unanticipated absence due to illness or emergency, please let the front desk know and fill out an absence form as soon as possible.**

We understand that scheduling and schoolwork can become stressful and overwhelming. That said, these are not considered emergencies. Please schedule an absence in advance if you foresee needing time to prioritize your schoolwork. If you are finding work and school difficult to balance, please reach out to an APC to discuss strategies and ways the UWC can better support you.

Generally, you are allowed up to 4 absences per semester. If possible, no more than 3 of these absences should be unanticipated absences (scheduled less than 3 business days in advance). All absences should be requested with as much notice as possible to ease the rescheduling burden placed on the front desk. If you believe you may need to exceed 4 absences in a semester, please discuss options with Alice.

Anticipated absences can be used for meeting professional or academic commitments (including conferences, scheduled exams, job interviews, etc.) or for vacation time (going to visit family, spending

the day with friends who are in town, etc.). A wide variety of reasons are acceptable as long as we receive the request at least 3 business days in advance. The more notice you can give us, the better.

If you need to request more than 3 anticipated absences, you may do so as long as your total number of absences (both anticipated and unanticipated) does not exceed 4 for the semester.

Unanticipated absences should be used for unexpected health issues (physical or mental) or personal/family emergencies. You are never required to disclose the reason for your unanticipated absence. Please do use these absences responsibly.

If you are making a same-day absence request, always call the front desk or contact the desk through the website chat (even if you already filled out the absence form) to make sure they are aware of your absence. Contact Emma W. as well. If you are unable to fill out the absence form yourself, let the front desk know during that phone call or chat conversation so that they can fill it out for you.

If you find that you need to schedule an extended absence, if you need more than 4 absences, or if you need more than 3 unanticipated absences over the course of a semester, please reach out to Alice.

If you exceed the absence limit without prior approval, Alice will meet with you. Together, you will decide what needs to happen in order for you to meet your commitment to the UWC. In some cases, you may need to change your work hours; in others, it could mean scheduling you for fewer hours per week. These measures will also be applied if you are consistently late.

Our primary responsibility is to meet writers' needs. To ensure that we can, we ask that you: 1) honor your scheduled hours, 2) arrive promptly for scheduled shifts, 3) report anticipated and unanticipated absences.

Remote Work Requests

Aside from unexpected illness, we have resumed the practice of conducting all consultations from the UWC. If you require accommodations, please fill out an application through the Center for Access and Restorative Engagement. We will work with them to ensure you receive the accommodations you require.

Absence Form

All absences must be reported via the YouCanBook.Me form: <https://uwcabsences.youcanbook.me/>. This form is also accessible from the website. Please fill out the form as soon as you know when you are going to be absent. Make sure to note the number of shift hours (not including breaks) you will be missing in addition to real time hours missed. For example, if you work from 10-2:30 with a half-hour break from 12-12:30, you would request an absence from 10-2:30 and note that you would be missing 4 shift hours.

When you request an absence, you will receive an automated email confirming that your request was submitted. You will receive an additional email letting you know that your request has been approved (or in rare cases rejected) once we have had the chance to address your request.

If you have not received the automated confirmation email that your absence is approved by the day of the shift you will be missing, call or chat online with the front desk to make sure that they received your request and blocked you off.

Makeup Hours

As of January 2025, all graduate and undergraduate employees are paid on an hourly basis. Makeup hours are therefore no longer required, nor allowed.

Maintaining a Professional Ethos

The UWC is a professional workplace. Like any workplace, we expect our employees to work unless a serious circumstance prevents them from doing so.

If an employer outside the university would not accept your reason for being absent, we probably will not accept it either. Meeting a professor, studying for a test, and visiting financial aid are not acceptable reasons to miss shifts. Please arrange to deal with non-emergencies outside of your scheduled hours.

Grounds for Termination

Several circumstances are grounds for termination: 1) missing a shift without submitting an absence form in advance, 2) having more than four total absences without approval, 3) consistently showing up late to shifts, and 4) not responding to UWC communications in a timely manner. In any of these instances, you may expect that Alice will schedule a conference with you to find out what's going on and find the best way to move forward.

Training

Incoming (New) Consultant Training

You will observe several consultations, ideally with different consultants each time. After each consultation, you will debrief with the consultant you observed to talk about the strategies they¹ employed and to go over the note that they will write for their consultee. The goals of observations are to demystify the consulting process (both logistically and interpersonally) and to allow new consultants to get a sense of different consulting styles. Emma Watson, the administrative associate, will track completion of your observations.

Once you complete these observations, you will do two co-consultations ideally with two different experienced consultants. After each co-consultation, you will receive feedback from your co-consultant

¹ Throughout this handbook, we'll be employing the gender-neutral singular pronoun "they" where appropriate, in accordance to the 14th edition of the Chicago Manual of Style. Since "they" is already commonly used to solve the pronoun dilemma, it seems likely that "they" will transition to standard use.

and write the note to the consultee. You will also discuss how your initial training and consulting experiences are going as well as any concerns you may have. Once again, Emma W. will track your progress.

After you have completed two co-consultations you will begin consulting on your own. A member of the admin team will also observe you at some point in the semester after you've done solo consultations to give you feedback.

Note on Collaborative Consulting. Throughout the year, all consultants have the option of consulting in pairs when we are not busy. Simply ask another consultant on your shift if they would like to do a collaborative consultation, and then ask the desk person to pair you. For even the most experienced consultant, collaborative consulting can be a great way to learn new strategies. You can also request to observe another consultant or to be observed at any point by indicating your request for support on the note form. The front desk will follow up with you to schedule the co-consultation or observation that you requested.

All Consultant Training

Orientation. Before the start of the fall semester, both new and returning consultants will be required to attend orientation. Please look out for emails about orientation during late July and August for more details.

Continuing Training. All consultants will participate in orientation before the fall semester. Additional required trainings, which would take place during your regularly scheduled shifts, may be announced throughout the academic year. All undergraduate consultants are encouraged to pursue further training by working towards UWC's certifications. On completion of two certifications, undergraduates will be considered for an hourly pay raise. Undergraduates interested in pursuing certifications should speak to the current certifications chair. Graduate students interested in additional training opportunities should see the Graduate Services Coordinator or the Assistant Program Coordinators.

Professionalization. There are many opportunities to develop professional skills at the UWC. Former consultants consistently report that the skills they developed while working here have helped them acquire employment and perform well in their workplaces. Some of these opportunities come from pursuing one of the many additional certifications that consultants can achieve through working with writers. Certifications consultants can pursue include: Additional information about each of these certifications can be found on the UWC website on the consultants page.

Observations

New UWC consultants are observed by a member of the admin team.

These observations provide staff development opportunities for all those involved. Veteran consultant observers have a chance to connect with new consultants and to talk with them about their experiences

working in the Writing Center. Also, observations provide helpful snapshots of your work as a consultant—important for consultants who plan to request letters of recommendation from the director or coordinator.

Observations of new UWC employees will be conducted throughout the semester they are hired. Once the observation is scheduled and the writer has checked in, the consultant being observed explains the observation arrangement to the writer and asks their permission to have a guest sit in on the consultation. Throughout the consultation, the observer takes notes and fills out the observation report form. At the end of the consultation, the observer and consultant discuss the session, and the observer finishes and submits the observation report. A subsequent observation may be carried out when appropriate—for example, if the observer finds significant problems or if the consultant feels the session did not realistically reflect their work.

In addition, veteran consultants may request to be observed if they would like feedback on a particular aspect of their performance or if they have asked Alice to write them a letter of recommendation.

You can view the observation form if you would like to know what your observer will record:
<https://form.jotform.com/230817074291051>.

Opportunities

Join a Project Group

While the individual writing consultation is always at the center of our mission, many consultants have interests and energies that extend beyond the forty-five-minute consultation. Consultants can make the most of the UWC's resources—including other consultants—by joining project groups that satisfy those interests. Project groups infuse fresh energy and ideas into ongoing tasks in the UWC; participation can also be a change of pace from the rather intense job of consulting.

Project group leaders will recruit and contact members very early in the Fall semester. Committees meet regularly during the academic year, though the frequency of meetings will vary depending on the committee chair's preferences. At peak consultation times during the semester, some committees may become less active, as consultations are our first priority. Groups include the Praxis copyeditors, Publicity and Social Media Team, Research Team, Spanish Consultation Team, and Outreach Team.

- The **Praxis Copyeditors**. The Editorial Committee is chaired by the senior Praxis managing editor and focuses on the mechanics of publication in scholarly journals from writing, through submitting and responding to editorial comments, to eventual publication; the Editorial Committee is also closely involved in readying consultants for their editorial certification applications. Members of the Editorial Committee will be expected to write at least three blog posts, attend all Editorial Committee meetings, work towards their editorial certification both through copy and associate editing for Praxis and through submission of scholarly articles to

appropriate journals and will be closely supervised by the senior Praxis managing editor, who will in turn serve as a resource for all publication-related questions from Editorial Committee members. Members of the Editorial Committee will receive preference in applying for Praxis managing editor positions and may be asked to write columns or book reviews for publication in Praxis. Contact Emma Conatser for more information.

- The **Publicity and Social Media Team** updates the UWC's multiple social media platforms, including Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram. Social media team members (Creators) can help generate content for all of our social media platforms and design specific promotional campaigns. This committee can also work with the Managing Editors of Praxis to write blog posts and to promote the journal's endeavors. Creators also help promote the writing center around campus and help generate new ideas or areas of publicity that may currently be underrepresented or unutilized. Contact the Assistant Program Coordinators for more information.
- **Conference and Publication Opportunities.** In the past, consultants have presented papers based on their work in the UWC at conferences such as the Conference on College Composition and Communication (4Cs), Computers and Writing, Rhetoric Society of America, the International Writing Centers Association, and the South Central Writing Centers Association. Publication opportunities range from articles in major journals to brief questions or comments in newsletters. Many conference presentations and journal articles can begin as Praxis blogs, which are published on the Praxis website and via social media. Presenting at professional conferences and writing articles for writing center journals allows consultants to combine UWC work with their academic pursuits. Contact Alice Batt for more information.
- The **Outreach Team** works to increase access to writing center support for groups across campus and the Austin community. They will work with groups with special interests who contact us and explore the kinds of collaborations we might build together. They work with UWC administration to establish a vision, scope, and procedures for work we do with community schools, nonprofits, and other entities. They may also contribute to brainstorming funding streams and writing grant proposals. Contact the APCs for more information.
- The **Presentations Team** manages all presentations and handouts the UWC offers, and we are constantly working to keep all of our resources up-to-date and inclusive. Please contact us at uwcpresentations@gmail.com with any suggestions or concerns about any of our posted materials and let us know if you want to contribute in the updating process.
- More project groups may be introduced during orientation.

Resources

The UWC is chock-full of resources designed to make you a successful consultant and collaborator in the UWC.

Resources for Professional Development

The Website. The UWC website contains many professional development resources and opportunities. Praxis features publications on many writing-center-related topics and also offers accessible opportunities for consultants to publish original scholarship. Under the “About” tab and under the submenu “For Consultants” you can find this handbook.

The Library. Whether you’re looking to strengthen your consulting practice or publish an article, the UWC library is a good place to start. Explore the Writing Centers, Teachers/Tutors, and Journals sections of the library. The Journals section contains the major journals in the field. Other sections contain texts about rhetoric, composition studies, and teaching English as a second language.

The UWC Staff. Of course, the UWC staff is our best resource. We’re here to help each other achieve our professional goals. Talk to your fellow consultants and the members of the administrative staff about how we can strengthen our training and consulting practices. Work with each other to conduct research or assemble a conference panel.

Praxis: A Writing Center Journal is an internationally read, peer-reviewed open access journal publishing articles on writing center research and practice. Praxis began in 2003 as a consultant-run journal and gradually attained a top position in the field, becoming peer-reviewed in 2011. Currently some of the top researchers in the field, many of whom are also writing center directors across the country, serve on the Praxis editorial review board. There is a blog associated with Praxis, AXIS, which publishes short-form (<1000 words) semiformal meditations on writing center research, practice, consultant experience, and writing center theory, and Praxis has also just launched a research exchange, PRX, whose purpose is to promote quantitative research in the field of writing center research through the dissemination of data used in assessment and research. Praxis' mission includes close attention to issues of social justice and an ongoing commitment to asking hard questions about the ethics of writing center practice, and the journal plays an important part in the UWC's outreach efforts both on- and off-campus through increasing the UWC's visibility online and at writing center conferences.

Handouts. The UWC has a series of handouts for consultants—housed online and in racks on either side of the bookshelf—and is developing additional handouts for professional development. These handouts are intended for use during consultations and to respond to consultants’ common concerns. The Presentations team manages all presentations and handouts the UWC offers, and we are constantly working to keep all our resources up-to-date and inclusive. In the spring of 2020, we initiated a major revision project of our handouts in the interest of making them more inclusive. This work is ongoing, and we invite you to contact the presentation team with any suggestions or concerns about any of our posted materials.

Resources for Working with Writers

PCL Library. Our unique space in the Learning Commons puts us in close proximity with the PCL library staff and resources. Take advantage of this! You can use the library website to locate specific resources and library personnel during consultations and encourage students to follow up with library resources and personnel right after their consultations.

The Website. Get familiar with the UWC website. It contains features, such as the handouts, consultants can use during consultations and recommend writers visit in their own time. Going to the site with writers during a consultation is also a good way to model locating an important resource for undergraduate and graduate student writers.

The Computers. In addition to the UWC website, consultants and clients can use the UWC computers during consultations for help with research and brainstorming. You can show them how to use NoodleBib (<http://www.lib.utexas.edu/noodlebib/index.html>), an online resource that helps writers generate works cited pages for MLA or APA style documentation and the library websites.

The UWC Library. The UWC library has extensive resources that you can use during your consultations and that UT undergraduates and graduate students can come to the Center to use anytime. Most of the books that you will use during consultations are shelved to the left of the front desk in the metal cabinets near the handouts. Feel free to photocopy a short section of a book that you think may help a writer. If the writer wants to study a book more extensively, invite them to do so inside the Center during UWC business hours at their leisure. (These resources are only available in our physical space.)

Handouts. We offer numerous handouts addressing a variety of writing issues such as grammar, style, format, documentation, and the writing process. Paper copies of the handouts can be found to the left of the front desk in labeled trays. Students are invited to take handouts for quick reference of material. They're available online and in trays by the front desk.

Space

When the APCs are at the UWC, the office will be open to all consultants who need something or want to chat. You can find APC's office hours posted on their door! Feel free to stop by!

You may keep your belongings with you at your work station or store belongings you are not actively using in the consultant cubbies in the break room. Do not leave your belongings on the floor, strewn about the break room, or in the Tail while you are engaged in a consultation. Unattended belongings will be moved to the consultant cubbies in the break room.

You are welcome to store food in the refrigerator in the break room. To prevent spoilage, please do not leave food in the refrigerator for more than 48 hours. We will throw away any food left in the refrigerator

when the UWC closes on Friday afternoons. Please wash all non-disposable break room dishware and silverware immediately after use.

Chapter Three: The Consultation

The Three Principles of Consulting

All the recommendations that we make in this handbook attempt to help consultants implement three basic consultation goals:

1. Consultations are non-evaluative. Consultants function as outside diagnostic readers rather than authority figures.
2. Consultations are non-directive. Writers retain ownership of their texts and make all final decisions about revision.
3. Consultants are sensitive to writers' emotional investments in the writing process.

This chapter offers guidance based on collective experience. However, every consultation is unique. Consultants have to stay flexible and be creative. We hope that by keeping these basic goals in mind, you'll be able to adapt what we've offered to your own style and situation. Here's a little elaboration on the basics:

Why should consultations be non-evaluative? General evaluative language ("This paper/sentence/thesis is weak/good/terrible.") tips the balance of power away from the writer and toward the consultant. The writer often ceases to view the consultant as a collaborator and advisor and begins to view them as the final authority on "good writing." Since our goal is to help students make decisions for themselves, this is not desirable. A non-evaluative approach also prevents the consultant from inadvertently competing with the instructor for authority by implying that a paper deserves a certain response—grade, comments, or other. Obviously, you'll often have to tell students that they have misunderstood the audience or the assignment, but you'll want to do so with as much specificity and as little evaluation as possible. Your job as a consultant is not to tell a consultee whether or not their writing is 'good,' but to help them identify their writing goals and strategies they can use to meet them.

What does it mean for a writer to retain ownership of the text? Ideally, it means that consultants will help writers to move away from a passive position where they wait for their paper to be "corrected." Writers will then take an active position where they can use the consultants' considerable expertise as a resource for making their own decisions about a piece of writing that is truly their own, rather than an exercise performed for others. Our aim is to help students become stronger writers rather than to improve any one piece of text. Asking students to generate strategies and options, choose among them, and implement them on their own helps ensure that the resulting texts are ones they feel they truly wrote themselves. It also prepares them to tackle the next assignment that comes their way. This does not mean that you cannot

facilitate the brainstorming process as the consultee considers revisions, only that the consultee should always make the final decision about how and why to make changes to their work.

What kind of “emotional investments in the writing process” can we expect, and what is entailed in being “sensitive”? Being non-evaluative and helping students maintain a sense of ownership go a long way toward mediating student responses, but navigating emotions in a one-on-one consultation can still be tricky. For students at UT, who spend the bulk of their time in large lecture courses, intensive one-on-one attention itself sometimes feels strange and intimidating. Often, the only kind of intensive attention they’ve received is negative. We’ve made suggestions throughout the handbook about how to be sensitive to these reactions, but the best course is often simply to observe the writer’s reaction and to check in directly with the student by asking them questions about how they are doing.

The Consultant-Writer Relationship

Consultants are not and should not be authority figures in ways that instructors are. Instead, we measure progress in terms of what the writer is learning about writing. Is the writer sensitive to the conventions and demands of writing in an academic setting? Have they envisioned an audience for their work and established a tone consistent with that audience? Will the structure of the paper enable them to make a convincing argument? In other words, we are more concerned with helping writers develop general writing strategies than we are with making any particular paper “correct.”

Differences between Consultants and Instructors

Setting Goals: A consultant may make recommendations for what the goals of the session will be, but the writer makes the final decision. An instructor, by contrast, will usually specify areas the writer should work on.

Content: The instructor defines the parameters of assignments, while the consultant asks questions that prompt the writer to assess the demands of the topic and to determine appropriate modes of expression. *Diagnosis vs. Evaluation:* Evaluation denotes a process of observing people or things and ranking them. Diagnosis involves observation, but it results in suggesting a course of action that leads to improvement. Both consultants and instructors assess students' writing and identify courses of action that will help students improve as writers. Both may spend time discussing students' writing process and helping students identify writing habits that impede their ability to meet their writing goals. But the instructor, who must eventually grade students, is the only one who has the authority to evaluate student work.

Differences between Consultants and Peer Reviewers

The consultant’s role is very different from that of one classmate helping another with a paper: the consultant is a professional writing expert, while the classmate is not. The consultant and the peer reviewer also have different goals. The peer reviewer is concerned with the product, helping the writer improve the paper. A consultant is concerned with the writer’s process, helping the writer develop skills and critically engage with their own process. While the consultant is a writing specialist in comparison to

the peer reviewer, this does not mean they must know all there is to know about grammar, formatting, citation styles, etc.; it just means they should be prepared to help students identify and answer questions about their writing.

Graduate Consultants and Their Students

Since the help that writing centers provide undergraduate students should be non-evaluative, and since instructors eventually have to grade their students' writing, graduate writing consultants may not provide consultations for students currently enrolled in their courses. If you notice that a student from a class that you teach or TA for has scheduled a UWC appointment with you to work on a paper from that class (or if this becomes apparent after the consultation has begun), contact the front desk to get the student moved to another consultant. The front desk will also contact the student to explain this policy. If the student wants to work with you on a paper from a different class, you may do so if you wish or ask the front desk to move the student to another consultant if you feel uncomfortable. This policy does not preclude consultations with former students. Because graduate consultants are not acting as classroom instructors in the UWC, they may not hold office hours while they are on duty.

Undergraduate Consultants and Their Classmates

In order to avoid blurring the lines between peer reviewer and consultant, undergraduate consultants may not work with students from classes in which they are currently enrolled. Having an open exchange about writing concerns or anxieties with a classmate can be uncomfortable. Undergraduate consultants may, of course, work with classmates from one class on papers for another class that they do not share. If you notice that a student from a class that you are enrolled in has scheduled a UWC appointment with you to work on a paper from that class (or if this becomes apparent after the consultation begins), contact the front desk to get the student moved to another consultant. The front desk will also contact the student to explain this policy.

The Chronology of a Consultation

Consultants aim to lead writers to greater awareness of their own writing processes. With every consultation, they gain a better understanding of how to do their jobs well. Writers benefit from our consultants' knowledge of and experience with the writing process, but they also teach us how to be more effective consultants and better writers. The writer maintains ownership of and responsibility for the text and holds authority for making final writing judgments and decisions. Every one of the following steps in the consultation process reflects this intention.

When the writer arrives at the UWC or checks in for their online appointment, they will fill out an intake form with information about themselves and their assignment. Once the student has filled out the form, the front desk will send you a text message and/or email notification that your consultee has checked in for their appointment. The message will contain the writer's EID and preferred first name, and will indicate if the writer wants a copy of the consultation record sent to their instructor (and provide that instructor's contact information if applicable). It will also include a link to access the note for the

appointment, so be sure to not delete the message. Take a moment to review the information in the message. Then, if you are consulting in person, greet the writer, and lead them to the consulting floor. In the event the writer has requested a Reduced Distraction Room for their consultation, the intake notification will reflect this information; in this situation, lead the writer to the Reduced Distraction Rooms and allow them to pick which room they prefer.

In the instance of an online consultation, much of the above process remains the same. The primary difference is that the consultant should open their personal meeting room with Zoom for the session. Please use your official UT email so the system won't kick you out. Consultants should double-check to make sure the consultee has received an invitation to the event and should check with the desk if the consultee is very late joining the meeting.

Consultant Procedures for 2025-26

If the writer needs to share their paper electronically, use the screen sharing function of Zoom to view their document. Doing this will allow the writer to maintain full control of their document on their device, while you can view it on your own laptop or a UWC computer. When screen sharing through Zoom during an in-person consultation, make sure both you and your consultee mute your audio.

Content Warning Procedures

Our intake form prompts writers to indicate whether they would like to provide a “content warning” for their work. This warning would flag any content that may be sensitive in nature, such as discussion of sexual or physical violence, racism, suicide or self-harm, eating disorders, and so on. If you receive a content warning for which you are uncomfortable consulting, whether on that particular day or in general, see the front desk to have the student reassigned to a member of the admin team. If you get a consultation where the student failed to provide a content warning and you are uncomfortable consulting, gently stop the conversation and bring the student to the front desk for reassignment. Please note that you will never be required to explain or justify your choice to not consult on this work.

Assess the Situation

Once you are either seated for the consultation or are signed into Zoom for the session, you will need to set expectations for the session. Start by asking if they are a first-time visitor to the UWC, and, if necessary, explaining our non-directive, non-evaluative consultation style and why we work that way (see below). You should also make time to determine what the writing assignment is and the writer’s main concerns. Ask the student what type of writing they’re working on, what class the assignment is for if they have brought in coursework, and what their concerns are with regard to the writing. During the consultation, you might use the writer’s name to emphasize the collegial relationship.

Because your time with the student is limited, you’ll want to make the most of the consultation. You cannot deal with every concern in every paper. The key to a good consultation is realizing as early as possible just what can be accomplished realistically to best help the student become a better writer, which is not necessarily the same as helping them get a better grade on this particular paper. Let the student help

you determine what areas these are. Consider asking the consultee whether there are particular parts of the writing process or the assignment expectations they are uncomfortable with, or if there is a section of the paper they'd like to focus on. Establish priorities, concentrating first on those aspects of the paper that make it most difficult for a reader to understand, and be open with your consultee about the limitations of the session. Abiding by the 45-minute time limit for each consultation requires planning and prioritizing. It is important to remember that if a student arrives late to the consultation, they will only have 45 minutes from the time their appointment was meant to start (for example, a 10:00 appointment will end at 10:45 even if the student arrived at 10:05).

Greet the Writer and Set the Tone

Your first task is to set the writer at ease. Always remember that showing your writing to a stranger can be daunting. Demonstrate from the start that they are in good hands by showing that you are a kind, concerned person who is genuinely interested in them as a writer. Make the student the sole focus of your attention. Begin establishing a rapport to set the respectful and supportive tone of the consultation.

When a student comes in with an electronic document, ask them if they have enough battery power or if you need to work close to a power supply. If there are no outlets available and the computer cannot run on its battery for the next 45 minutes, have the student save the document to the cloud (such as on Google Docs, UTBox, or simply by emailing the document to themselves) and open the document on a UWC computer.

If you are consulting online, find out early in the session whether the student has previously visited the UWC and, if they have, whether the visit was online or in person. This will allow you to establish their familiarity with our process and conferencing technology. If necessary, introduce the technology, explaining how the student can share their screen or use the chat function. (Note: You will need to give them access to share their screen from your end).

Explain our Process

Especially when working with first-time consultees, it is crucial to explain how and why we use the approach we do. While this information can be presented in many different ways, feel free to use the following examples as a guideline.

Example 1:

So, since it's your first time at the UWC, there's a little speech we like to give everyone, just to let them know what we do and how we can help. We're going to have 45 minutes together (or "we have until [end time of appointment]" such as "we have until 10:45"), during which time we can work on really whatever you want. As I'm helping you with your writing, you'll notice me taking a particular approach: I'm going to try to be non-directive and non-evaluative. And that's really just a fancy way of saying two things. One, I won't talk in terms of "good" or "bad," or in terms of grades, because that's what TAs and instructors get to do. I'm not the ultimate audience of your work, so I can't anticipate how they'll evaluate it, so it won't be useful for me to try. Two, I won't proofread or edit your work for you. That is, I won't tell you what to write, what to fix, what to change, what to do, etc. Instead, I want to help YOU make those decisions. So,

you'll notice me ask you a lot of questions; I'll try to have a lot of conversation with you; I'll probably offer you a bunch of options and models and strategies. My ultimate goal will be to help you address the specific concerns you have about the product at hand, but in a way that will make sure you retain ownership over what you're writing and that will help you cultivate your overall process as a writer. So, any questions about that approach before we start?

Example 2:

Since this is your first time here, let me tell you a bit about how we work. My goal today is to provide you with helpful feedback, not just with this one project, but also on your writing process as a whole. In order to do that effectively, I'm going to remain non-directive and non-evaluative. In other words, I want all the decisions about your writing to come from you; and I'll leave the evaluation up to your professor. We have 45 minutes (or "we have until [end time of appointment]" such as "we have until 10:45") for our consultation today, after which I'll ask you to take a quick exit survey at one of the computers up front. When we're done, I'll also write a brief note describing what we did here today, and you'll receive that note in your email. Do you have any questions before we get started?

Ask Questions

Asking a few questions about the writer's work before you begin reading it will help you put their writing in context. It will also help you determine where you should focus your energies and how much you can realistically accomplish during the consultation.

- *Have you been to the Writing Center before?* If so, the writer already knows about the time limit and has an idea of how we work. If not, this is your chance to explain the 45-minute limit/end time of the appointment right off the bat, before it is a problem or an embarrassment. It is also the time to explain or remind the student of our non-directive, non-evaluative philosophy and mention the brief end-of-consultation surveys.
- *What is the writing task as you understand it? Can I see your assignment prompt?* When beginning consultations, it is helpful to read the assignment prompt and get a feel for how the writer understands it. If the writer does not have a copy of the prompt, or the instructor did not provide one, ask the writer to describe the assignment. Listening should give you a sense of how comfortable, confused, or anxious the writer is about the assignment, which will help you tailor your approach and your feedback to the writer's practical and emotional needs. For instance, if the writer is confused or anxious about the assignment, you could start by putting them at ease and helping them interpret what they've been asked to do. Usually, the two of you will be able to come to a clear understanding of the assignment. If the prompt is vague or confusing, the best plan of action may be to refer the writer to their instructor for clarification. Conversely, if the student is clear and confident about what they're being asked to do, you can move more quickly into setting up a plan for the consultation (more on this below). If the student has generated a thesis and/or content, ask early in the session how they see it addressing the prompt. This will help you both ensure their work correlates with the assignment expectations.

- *When is the paper due? What are your instructor's expectations and/or comments?* We've all had consultations in which we realize three-fourths of the way through that the paper we're working on is due much sooner than when we first assumed, or that we've focused on an aspect of the paper that the writer's instructor does not deem particularly important. Knowing the assignment due date and understanding the instructor's expectations and/or comments will help ensure that the writer gets to focus on those aspects of their paper that they can reasonably expect to revise before the due date and/or that their instructor deems important. For instance, if the paper is due in a little more than two hours or if the instructor is primarily concerned with grammar and sentence-level issues, it is likely that the writer will neither be able nor interested in making profound changes in argumentation or organization. In such an instance, you should scale your comments to the time available for revision and/or to the instructor's priorities. Also, knowing the answers to these questions will give you a sense of how to approach the consultation in an emotionally sensitive way. For instance, for writers who have plenty of time to revise and have supportive instructors, non-directive consultation strategies can be fun and engaging. Conversely, for students who are stressed out by a quickly-approaching due date or vague, unhelpful instructor comments, non-directive strategies can be highly frustrating, confusing, and even traumatic when they are not properly explained and framed.

Note: We do not work with writers whose papers are due in fewer than two hours. A consultation scheduled to start exactly two hours before the time the paper is due is permissible (so a 10:00 am appointment for a paper due at 12:00 pm is ok, but a 10:30 am appointment would not be). All students are asked on the intake form if their paper is due in less than two hours and, if so, are informed of our policy and turned away. However, students can of course lie about the paper due date to get into a last-minute appointment. If the student has gotten through this screening with a paper due in less than two hours, politely explain the UWC policy to the writer, tell them that you cannot work with them, and alert the front desk. If the paper is due in less than two hours, the writer will not have the time to revise it. Furthermore, consultations are intended to help writers improve their writing technique, not just the paper.

- *What have you done up to this point? How much work do you anticipate doing, or are you willing to do, before you submit the assignment? What do you hope to accomplish during our meeting today?* These questions will help you assess three important things: 1) how aware the writer is of their own writing process, 2) where the writer thinks they are in their process, and 3) how the writer understands the consultation as a part of their process. Discussing this information will help you and the writer set priorities and goals for the consultation, and will give you a common ground from which to adjust them if necessary later in the consultation. A writer who has clear and straightforward answers to the first two questions will probably have a relatively sophisticated answer to the third. A writer who does not may need more help setting priorities and goals, both for the consultation and for the rest of time they have before their due date. For instance, writers often say they are at the stage of final revision, when, in fact, their work may have invention or organization problems requiring more than simple proofreading. And sometimes writers have no idea at all about what they need to work on and will ask simply that you "go over" the paper. These writers help recognizing and articulating their writing goals, and it

might help to brainstorm potential issues with them or to point out patterns as you work together. Finally, establishing where writers are in their writing process also helps them mature as writers. By asking them questions about their writing process, you can help reinforce the idea that learning to write well takes time and involves complex judgments at many different stages.

- *Do you want me to give feedback on other issues if I notice them, especially if they affect things such as meaning, thesis, organization, or argument?* After you have chosen a set of issues to focus on, consider asking the student if they mind hearing feedback on other issues you notice while reading the paper. Asking this question leaves control in the writer's hands and allows them to set the terms of the consultation according to their own priorities and their own process. For instance, on one hand, if a writer knows their instructor has a specific set of concerns, they might ask you not to share unsolicited feedback that will distract the consultation from those concerns. On the other hand, if a student knows they have a significant amount of time to spend revising a paper, they might welcome unsolicited feedback that will help them notice issues they did not anticipate.

Set Priorities

After asking the initial questions outlined above, you and the writer should have a sense of the issues you want to focus on during the consultation. Chances are you won't have time to talk about all of these issues, so you'll need to set priorities. Your initial discussion will help you begin the process of setting priorities, but a second, more explicit step may be necessary as well. More specifically, given the information you glean in response to your initial questions, you may need to discuss the global-to-local spectrum of writing concerns (pictured below) and to provide scaffolding the writer can use to choose which concerns are most important to them.

GLOBAL
Thesis
Audience, Tone, Clarity, Supporting Evidence
Organization, Transitions
Introduction, Conclusion
Grammar, Punctuation
Spelling
LOCAL

Global and local writing issues exist on a continuum. At the most global end of the continuum are issues such as thesis statements, audience, and organization. Global issues affect the overall coherence or clarity of a paper. At the most local end of the continuum are issues such as spelling, grammar, and punctuation. In the UWC, we think of isolated errors or sentence-level mistakes as local issues. Such issues cease to be local and become global when they appear throughout a paper and/or when they impede the reader's basic comprehension of the argument.

Ultimately, the student gets to decide what priorities to set for the session. In general, working on global concerns will be the best way to improve their process and products. Often, though, writers and/or their instructors have very specific concerns that do not necessarily jibe with our global-before-local approach. Explaining the spectrum of writing concerns can help them decide which ones they want to address, given their time constraints and their instructor's expectations. The decision about what to focus on is always theirs.

No matter what concerns you focus on, work to prioritize the writer's process. Put another way, even if the writer wants to focus on local issues, you should avoid slipping into "editing" mode. Focus on the paper as a whole by pointing out patterns as you work. You can also center process by discussing revisions in detail. Work with the student to identify the underlying causes for unclear sentences or confusing paragraphs. Even a conversation about sentence structure can center process as long as you take your discussion back to the writer's goals.

Reading and thinking about the paper as a whole has several advantages. First, it sends the writer good signals about how to set priorities in revising: it shows them that they should focus on global issues and pervasive local issues before focusing on sentence-by-sentence editing. Second, it enables you to track global and local patterns in the paper, rather than isolated mistakes. Third, it helps you recalibrate your original priorities, if necessary. For instance, you and the writer might originally decide to focus on sentence-level style but the paper may actually have a generally incoherent structure. If you work through the paper sentence by sentence, you may not notice the paper's structural problem until the end of the session. If you read the paper as a whole and save your comments until the end, you will notice the structural problem and be able to bring it to the writer's attention.

Focusing on the paper as a whole can be especially challenging when the consultee is interested in sentence-level issues. If a writer wants to focus on sentence-level issues, the following strategies will help you do so while still prioritizing the writers' process.

- *Isolated Mistakes.* If the paper is generally understandable and readable, but contains isolated mistakes, explain the mistakes and model how to correct them. You might create an example sentence to correct, or model how to correct the actual mistake in the writer's paper. If you choose the second option, be careful that you don't continue editing the writer's paper. After modeling the first correction, ask the student to find and correct similar mistakes in the rest of the paper.
- *Pervasive Mistakes.* If the paper's sentence-level mistakes are so pervasive that they seem to be more of a global issue, try using the same process outlined above while focusing only on the salient mistakes. In general, the most salient mistakes are those that impede understanding.
- *Minimal Marking.* If the paper contains so many mistakes that you can't identify which are the most salient, try minimal marking. First, choose a representative paragraph from the paper. Next, find, explain, and correct as many mistakes in that paragraph as you can. This step in the process should model for the writer how to identify, understand, and correct their own mistakes. Finally,

ask the writer to do the same with the next paragraph in the paper, using the paragraph you heavily edited as an example.

Using any of these strategies, you can spend much of the session helping the writer find and correct their pervasive mistakes, modeling the process for them as you go rather than editing the paper for them.

In summary, when setting and recalibrating priorities, emphasize “global first, local last,” but ultimately respect the writer’s wishes, and remember that focusing on the paper as a whole means that you might find errors in a draft that you won’t mention to the writer. Part of being a consultant is having the discipline to avoid editing.

Choose a Reading Strategy

With the writer, choose a strategy for reading the paper. First, assess how long the paper is. It takes an extra step to plan how to get through longer papers (more on this below).

If the paper is five pages or less, or if the writer wants to cover five pages or less of a longer paper, consider offering the writer the three reading strategies listed below. You’ll want to explain the advantages and disadvantages of each reading strategy to the writer, explain your preference, and then let the writer decide which strategy they like best. We all have preferences for how we like to read writers’ work, but the final decision should ultimately be up to the writer.

1. The consultant reads the paper out loud, while the writer follows along.
2. The writer reads the paper out loud, while the consultant follows along.
3. The consultant reads and marks the paper or inserts comments on the laptop/computer silently.

Each strategy has pros and cons.

1. Consultant Reads Out Loud

Pros: A writer gains from hearing the consultant read the paper out loud. The reader will hesitate while reading a sentence that is confusing, for example, indicating where the writer did not clearly convey a point. If consultants read the paper out loud they maintain control of the pace of the consultation and can stop if they need to concentrate silently, write a note, or give the writer time to write a note or make a correction. Also, reading out loud is an effective way to get a general sense of the paper as a whole.

Cons: The consultant may not feel comfortable reading the paper out loud. Some consultants find it too difficult to read aloud while trying to consider and prioritize all the aspects of a paper. If you are such a consultant and the writer prefers this reading strategy, help yourself out by pausing when you need to reread part of the paper or to take notes. Also, let yourself off the hook: it is not your job to notice and record absolutely everything about a paper. Focusing on the student’s process, rather than their product, frees you up to read for the paper’s most pervasive or salient

issues. If you choose this strategy, you will want to make sure the writer is listening carefully and doing a lot of the proofreading and prioritizing.

2. Writer Reads Out Loud

Pros: If writers read the paper out loud, they actively control the pace of the consultation, take full charge of the paper, and can make corrections as they go. Writers pick up more of their own sentence-level problems when they read their prose aloud, and they get to practice a skill they will use to revise their own writing in the future.

Cons: Some writers may not feel comfortable reading their papers out loud or may not recognize sentence-level problems as they read. Also, since having the writer read out loud puts the writer in charge of setting the pace of the consultation and deciding what to discuss, it is easier to get sidetracked and to go over 45 minutes/the end time of the appointment. You may need to acknowledge the writer's concerns as they arise while also encouraging them to finish the entire paper before you discuss their concerns. To do this, you might encourage them to jot down their concerns as they arise and save them for the post-reading discussion.

3. Consultant Reads Silently

Pros: Some consultants prefer this strategy because they find it difficult to think about the paper while reading it out loud or listening to the student read it. Silent engagement with the paper allows these consultants to concentrate on the paper and to read it as the primary audience—the grader—will read it. Some writers also prefer this strategy for the same reason. Other writers might prefer this strategy because they are uncomfortable having their work read aloud.

Cons: Reading and marking the paper silently can create a long, awkward silence at the beginning of a consultation when the writer is the most nervous and can put the writer in a passive, powerless position. The writer loses control of the text and has time to fear being judged or zone out. If you prefer this strategy, you should explain your preference and ask the writer's permission. Also, with this strategy, you may have to work harder to get the student engaged in conversation after the paper has been read. Ask the student to read along with you, to think about the concerns you prioritized, and to take notes on issues that come up for them as they read. You may recommend that they do a reverse outline as you both read. Let them know that you expect them to bring up concerns or observations after you've finished reading.

If the paper is longer than five pages and the student wants to cover the entire paper, you may still need to decide on one of the reading strategies outlined above, but will also need to choose a strategy for getting through the paper:

1. You can heavily skim the entire paper, focusing on the introduction, topic sentences, and the conclusion. With this strategy, you will get a feel for the argument and structure of the paper in its entirety, but will not get to focus closely on the writer's prose. Accordingly,

this strategy will help you offer feedback and scaffolding on global writing issues, such as thesis, structure, and organization. It will not enable you offer much help in the way of local issues, such sentence-level style, transitions, grammar, editing, etc.

2. You can set a time limit, read as much of the paper as possible within that limit, and then stop to discuss what you've read. You can also suggest that the student return for a follow up consultation in which they finish the paper with you or another consultant. With this strategy, you can focus closely on the global and local issues in the part of the paper you read, but not in the rest of the paper. This strategy may only appeal to the writer if they have time to return for another consultation.
3. You can do a "reverse outline." Reverse outlining is a process in which the writer explains their thesis statement and then tells you, in one sentence apiece, the main point, claim, or sub-argument of each paragraph. Encourage the writer to use only one sentence per paragraph and take notes as the writer talks. At the end of this process, you will have a more or less rough outline of the writer's paper. With this strategy, you can see the basic argument and structure of the writer's paper and offer feedback and scaffolding on those issues. Writers often find it challenging to succinctly summarize their thesis and their supporting paragraphs in one sentence apiece. Such writers are probably unclear about what their thesis is or should be, and about how they should support that thesis in their body paragraphs. Accordingly, the reverse outline will help the writer identify sources of incoherence in their thinking and in their paper without actually having to read the whole thing.

Center the Student

Regardless of the format in which you engage with a paper, you must make sure that the writer retains ownership and control over the writing. Make sure the writer has a writing pad and a pencil. Writers who are new to the Writing Center may be shy about taking notes or making revisions on the fly during a consultation. If a writer suggests a productive revision, say, "That might work well. Why don't you write it down?" Try to use body and space language to reinforce a relationship of equality and collaboration.

If the writer brought paper copies, ask "Do you mind if I write on my copy of your draft?" before you set pencil to paper. Writers practically never mind, but that's not the point. By asking, we keep the text in the writer's control. Also, be careful not to mark up the text as you would if you were grading a student's paper. Make just enough marks to remind yourself of passages you might want to return to later in the session. Remember, you're an expert reader giving your response, not an instructor giving your judgment. Written comments tend to carry a kind of authority that makes the writer see them as admonishments or commands rather than suggestions. Your behavior will encourage the writer to be fully engaged in the writing process. At the end of the consultation, ask if the student wants the marked copy of their draft back.

With a laptop or UWC computer consultation, it is important that any revisions be done by the student. For this reason, we ask that the student share their screen with you through Zoom instead of sharing the document with you electronically. If you want to insert comments electronically to help guide your conversation, first ask the student if they are comfortable sharing their document with you electronically and allowing you to make comments. If you find points for discussion as you read, use the highlighter, comments, or track changes features available on most word processors to note those sections. At all times, make sure that you are only inserting comments and not changing or correcting the text as you type.

No matter how many times you've read essays about the same topics, remember that each writer who brings an essay to the Center has an investment in their argument. Perhaps they only want a good grade in the course, but grades are serious and legitimate concerns for writers. As a writing consultant, you must negotiate a balance between being critical and being supportive. In addition, while you want the student to have faith in your expertise as a writer and your ability to help, you shouldn't be afraid to admit that there are things you don't know. If, for example, the student has an obscure question about documentation, consult a handbook together. By doing so, you are not only making sure that the advice you provide is accurate, but also setting a good example. Encourage the student to take an active role in the consultation. Use the time to track down facts, ideas, and solutions together.

One way to establish a good working environment is to ask questions and let the writer do as much of the talking as possible. They will not only become more independent in this kind of exchange, but also give you, the consultant, the information you need to assist in the project at hand. Many undergraduates (like their professors) are unaccustomed to this collaborative approach and may need extra time and prompting to respond, but by framing questions and exercising patience, you help clients articulate their expectations for the consultation, the paper, and their growth as writers.

Possible Strategies for Three Common Consultation Scenarios

The following are some common situations our consultants face on a daily basis and some suggested ways to address them:

1. *The Grammar Check:* If the writer is satisfied that they have dealt appropriately with global issues and only need to work on grammar, they can select a few paragraphs or a section to work on during the consultation. You can help them recognize patterns of error, review the relevant grammar rules, and provide scaffolded guidance as they practice correcting their errors. Then you can encourage them to apply what they have learned to other paragraphs.
2. *Big Changes at the Last Minute:* If the writer needs to work on global issues and the paper is due in three hours, you will need to work with the writer to discover how the consultation can be of most benefit. For example, no matter how disorganized the paper, the writer may only have time to revise for one or two global grammar issues. In this case, you may decide to help the writer learn to identify and revise these sentence level problems, and suggest that the writer come in more than once for the next paper--earlier in the writing process and well in advance of the due

date. Remind them they can come to brainstorm a topic, work on higher-order global issues in a rough draft, and polish a near-finished draft.

3. *Global Concerns that Require More than One Consultation:* If the writer wants or needs to work on thesis, clarity, evidence, organization, or other global concerns, and has several days to revise, the writer might choose to make an appointment to return to the UWC for further consultation on the same paper.

Engage with the Paper and Consult

Asking Questions. In productive consultations, the consultant's main role is that of a questioner. Good questions lead writers in the right direction while letting them come to their own discoveries about writing well. Often the writer's perception of what they are communicating in a text is different from what the text actually communicates. Many writers have an easier time verbalizing their ideas than writing them down. Thus, questions that ask the writer to summarize their arguments or explain their choices can be productive. You might ask the following: "How would you sum up your thesis?" "What does this paragraph argue?" "How does this passage contribute to your argument?" "How do you back up this idea?" "What do you want the reader to take away from your introduction?" "Describe the tone you chose to use. Do you think it will appeal to your audience?"

Working on the Writer's Process. Some of the most productive questions you can ask a writer sometimes seem to have the least to do with the paper itself. "How did you go about writing this draft?" "What thinking did you do about the topic before you started writing the rough draft?" "How do you usually approach the conclusion?" "Do you procrastinate?" "Do you talk to friends or to your professor?" "How much time does it take you to write a draft?" "Do you do any prewriting?" "Do you do research before or after you come up with a thesis?" By learning about the writer's process and asking the writer to examine that process, you can make suggestions that get at the heart of their writing concerns.

Taking Notes. When a writer is formulating a paper's argument, you can ask them to talk out their ideas while you take notes. You might say, "Tell me what your argument is, and I'll take some notes on what you say." Writers are usually grateful to have the notes to take home and refer to as they revise. To avoid collusion, be sure that your notes record only the writer's words and ideas.

Meta-Consulting. A writer will better understand the process of writing if you carry on a meta-consultation, explaining why you are doing what you are doing. For instance, if you explain why constructing an outline of a draft might help a writer strengthen an already-written paper's organization instead of just launching into an outline without comment, the writer will be more likely to apply that technique on their own next time they write a paper. Meta-consulting helps us achieve our goal of making writers self-sufficient.

Encouraging without Evaluating. Assessing without Critiquing. Even though we don't evaluate writers' works as instructors do, we naturally try to be encouraging. In particular, offering a positive comment when you finish reading the writer's draft can lessen their anxiety considerably. However, we avoid

evaluating the writer's work. Evaluating ("Your conclusion doesn't work very well" or "This is a good draft") undermines your own role as collaborator, putting you in the position of authority over the work and the writer. Ultimately, that takes away writers' agency to assess and make decisions about their own work. Instead, try the following:

- Respond as an experienced reader ("I lose track of the argument in this paragraph. What did you want to say?") instead of as an instructor ("Work on this paragraph; it lacks focus.") The experienced reader gives a first-person response rather than an absolute judgment. By doing so, you avoid laying blame on the writer or the text.
- Compliment the writer's process instead of the text. "It's great that you're taking your revisions so seriously. It shows commitment to your writing process."
- Put the focus on the audience. "This seems interesting to me, but of course, I'm not your audience. Will your professor think it addresses the prompt effectively?"
- Ask questions that put the authority to make judgments in the writer's hands. "What are you doing in the intro to entice the reader? How does that paragraph sound to you?"
- Put assessments in context. "The organization seems a bit confusing to me, but of course, that's to be expected at this stage in the writing process."

Avoiding Those Kinds of Authority You Don't Want to Assume. Consultants don't have to know everything, especially when it comes to the content or subject matter of a writer's work. If you are working with a writer who seems too dependent on your feedback, refusing to admit or to bring up your own knowledge of a paper's subject matter often forces the writer to generate their own ideas. For instance, an overly dependent writer might say, "My paper's about 'Benito Cereno.' Have you read it? Is this analysis correct?" If you haven't read "Benito Cereno," say so and ask the writer to tell you about it. If you have read it, you can simply say, "Yes, I have! But why don't you tell me about it? Your perspective is what's important for the paper."

Using UWC Resources. You can use the books in the UWC library and UWC handouts to address common student concerns about style, grammar, documentation, format, the writing process, or a specific subject area such as RHE 306. Showing the writer how to look up the answer to a question is more instructive than simply giving the correct answer. When you bring two copies of the reference book to the table and have the writer also look up the answer, you demonstrate and have the writer practice a technique that will help the writer develop writing skills. Handouts allow consultants to convey information about technical issues and jargon in a non-directive manner. For example, if you need to explain passive voice during a consultation, it takes less than a minute to grab the "Passive Voice" handout (or open it from the Resources page of our website) and review it with the student. Plus, the student will know about the handout for later reference.

Dialectal Language Uses: Writers who use slang, colloquialisms, or dialect-specific grammar for academic writing are not incorrect. When you notice varied language choices in writing, ask your consultee who their audience is. In some circumstances, instructors and other readers may have institutional expectations of students' academic writing. Although we should ultimately strive to influence these prejudiced standards in academia, we want to arm our consultees with the tools needed to succeed under some courses' rigid requirements. Discussions resulting from varied language uses can be learning moments for consultant and consultee alike. You can reflect not only on the role of audience expectations in writing, but also on how generic expectations inform how we use language. We should present dialects as rhetorical choices of students who write, and not as "correct" or "incorrect."

Pointing Out Sensitive Material: Occasionally students bring papers to us that might be deemed sensitive because of the language or topic. For our purposes, sensitive material is writing that affects you personally, may affect or distract others nearby who can hear it being read, or causes you to be concerned about the writer's well-being or safety.

If you are presented with sensitive material, please keep in mind the following:

1. No consultant is required to consult on a paper that contains material they find personally offensive. If you find you cannot work on a paper for this reason, simply say politely, "I am not the best person to consult on this paper. I will check with the front desk to see if we can find someone better suited." Then explain the situation to the person at the front desk, who will try to find a consultant who can work with the student. Note that you may choose this alternative at any point in a consultation.
2. Be sensitive to what you are reading and how it might be experienced by others. If you choose to continue working with a student whose paper might be considered offensive or distracting, please do not read it aloud. Even if you and the writer are not offended, someone working nearby might be. If you are reading aloud and the material becomes graphic, please stop and tell the writer, "This paper contains sensitive material. It may distract people around us, so it's better to read it silently." You can also suggest that the two of you move into a reduced distraction room if one is available in order to keep reading aloud.
3. Consider that the student who brings in a paper that contains racist, sexist, or graphic material may not realize its potential effects on an audience. It can be helpful to begin by asking what they intended to communicate with their writing to confirm the writer's intentions. You can turn the situation into a teachable moment by helping the student examine purpose and audience. Help them see why audience members may be offended.
4. On rare occasions, you may come across a piece of writing that really troubles you and makes you concerned for the students' safety or the safety of others. Texas State Law requires all employees (including student employees) at a public or private post-secondary institution to promptly report any knowledge of any incidents of sexual assault, sexual harassment, dating violence, or stalking "committed by or against a person who was a student enrolled at or an

employee of the institution at the time of the incident.” UWC consultants are mandatory reporters, meaning that if a writer discloses such an incident during a consultation, either orally or in written text, consultants are legally required to report it. If this happens, you will need to make a report to Title IX. You can ask Alice, Michele, or Emma Watson for help to review the situation with you and make the necessary reports. You can also make the report directly to Title IX yourself, but please let us know. For a comprehensive explanation of UT’s Title IX protocols, consult the [Handbook of Operating Procedures 3-3031](#).

End the Consultation

When you write the note after the consultation, you will want to indicate what kind of paper it was and a few of the most important things you discussed in the session. Summarize what you’ve accomplished in the consultation, and mention any areas for future work.

If you worked on a laptop/computer, allow yourself enough time to end the consultation 45 minutes after the scheduled appointment start time. Feel free to end the consultation a few minutes early to make sure the student saves the updated version to their laptop, flash drive, or email.

If the writer has specified on their intake form that they would like a copy of the post-consultation note sent to their instructor, confirm with the writer that you will do so. If the writer has not supplied or does not know the instructor’s email address, you may look up that information in the UT directory (<http://www.utexas.edu/directory>) after the consultation.

If this is an in-person consultation, ask the writer if they have time to complete the Student Satisfaction Survey. If they do, walk them to the survey computer. If they do not, or if this is an online consultation, encourage them to check their email for a link to the same survey which they may complete whenever they are able. Explain that the survey helps the UWC assess the quality of our performance, and that it is anonymous, confidential, and takes about a minute to complete. When you say goodbye to the writer, please thank them for visiting us and wish them a good day or evening.

Tips for Ending a Consultation at 45 Minutes

Many consultations naturally run from thirty to forty minutes. Beyond about forty-five minutes, clients and consultants may find their attention starting to lag and their minds starting to wander. Once you’ve been working with a student for thirty-five to forty minutes, it’s a good idea to wrap things up. Often writers will signal that they know what they want to do next and that the consultation should end. Sometimes, however, you will need to take some active steps to conclude the consultation.

One way to do this is to change your posture and tone of voice, explain to the student that you think they have accomplished a lot, and explain that it’s now time for them to work alone. Summarize the work you’ve done in the session, and review the actions you and the writer have decided they should take next. Jot down a list of the steps you’ve both decided they should take. If you feel the paper will still need work after the writer has taken these steps, encourage the writer to make an appointment to come back. If you and the student agree that they should work with you again, consult with the front desk to see whether

your schedules coincide. If you won't be working in the Writing Center before the paper is due, or you feel one of the other consultants has expertise that would be useful in the next stage of the project, consult with the desk person to determine when and with whom the student should consult.

Complete the Consultation Record (the Note)

You can use any computer, including your own, to write the post-consultation note (see Chapter Four, "Completing the Consultation Record" for how to write a note). Each consultation has a specific note link. The link can be found in the intake notification email that you received when your student arrived.

Fill out the note form according to its instructions. You will be asked to provide a brief description of the work you completed and the aspects of writing you addressed. If the student has requested that a copy of the note be sent to their professor, you will also be asked to provide that professor's name and email address. After you click "Submit," the note will be emailed to the student.

Consulting with Multilingual Students

The term "multilingual" covers a broad spectrum of student writers. Some are international students who have studied English for years but may not have written papers in English; others grew up in bilingual homes in the US and have spoken English their entire lives; and some grew up speaking a global English.. The commonality between these students is that they have earned proficiency in more than one language, which is a remarkable achievement. It is important to frame consultees' knowledge of multiple languages as an asset to their writing, not an obstacle, and to draw on their experiences with other languages wherever possible during the consultation.

It can be tempting to assume that a multilingual student comes to the UWC because they wish to work on grammar, but we'd encourage you to avoid making assumptions. Instead, be curious. Ask plenty of questions. Let them explain their writing goals. Ask about their concerns regarding this paper, and (if they have visited the Writing Center before) what consulting approaches work best for them (e.g., student reading aloud, consultant reading aloud, taking lots of notes, using handouts, etc.). You can remind them that higher-order issues often influence a reader's comprehension more than sentence-level elements do, and many students will prefer to work on topics such as logic, organization, idea development, and "flow."

If the student really wants to focus on grammar, accommodate that request. For many multilingual students, grammar is their highest priority in revising. Many have been told, repeatedly, that they need to work on their grammar to improve their writing, so they may be very invested in developing proficiency in this area.

Working on grammar does not mean abandoning your non-directive training. It is true that for many multilingual writers, highly non-directive questions such as "How does that sound to you?" may not be helpful. Instead, assume that being non-directive means finding ways to give the student authority over the revision process. Here are a number of ways to do that:

1. Explain clearly that you will not have time to address everything in the paper, and encourage them to identify the section of the paper they think needs the most attention. If necessary, remind yourself and the student that the path to writing in a learned language is a long, ongoing process, and that this consultation is one important step toward that goal.
2. Engage the student in setting the agenda. Ask if they struggle consistently with particular areas of grammar. Because they have studied the language as a non-native speaker, they will frequently have very specific answers (e.g., “I have trouble with articles”). Their answers can help the two of you determine what you will look for in their paper.
3. Work together to set a plan for how you will proceed. In *Multilingual Writers and Writing Centers*, Ben Rafoth suggests that a plan can look like this: “Before we start, let’s make a plan. When you see an error, go ahead and correct it. When I see an error, I will either pause or repeat it, okay?” This approach gives students a chance to notice and correct their own errors.
4. Help students learn how to notice their own errors. This is a very important element of language acquisition. If the student wants to review their use of articles, ask them what would help them do that. (One of you might suggest that they identify all the nouns in a paragraph, so you can work together to review how they used articles.)
5. Agree that you will recast grammatical errors as you read, and pause so the writer can hear the correct form and notice the difference. Give them a chance to make the correction each time, providing support as needed. Before long, they will likely see the mistakes before you recast.
6. Offer models. If a student uses a word whose meaning does not fit the sentence, suggest a few synonyms, or ask the student to use a thesaurus to find them. You can explain the connotations of each and ask them which one best expresses what they wanted to say.
7. Engage in higher-order discussion by identifying patterns in your consultee’s writing and discussing the principles underlying suggested revisions or sentence clarity issues. Consult handbooks, handouts, or online resources to review the relevant rules.
8. At the end of the session, you may want to provide a diagnostic list of grammar/punctuation patterns that you suggest the student work on over time, and then ask them to pick a few to focus on in the consultation. That way, the student has a sense of other things that might be helpful to work on while continuing to improve their writing.

Remember that providing positive encouragement on their learning in grammar can make students more confident, resulting in the ability to work together beyond sentence-level clarity.

Two final thoughts:

- It's helpful to remember that cultural conventions can shape writing. Different writing standards exist across cultures and even between countries where English is the primary language (consider American spelling versus English spelling: color vs. colour). At the UWC, we aim to validate all students' cultural and dialectal heritages, and we avoid approaching varied writing standards from a "correct/incorrect" perspective. That said, it is our job to help consultees find and use the tools they need to succeed in the US academic environment.
- In addition to shaping writing styles, cultural standards often dictate norms of educational interaction. Consultees should listen carefully for signs of discomfort about the consultation dynamics and strive to be adaptable, changing techniques if need be.

Working with Students with Disabilities

Qualified students with disabilities may request appropriate accommodations. We make every effort to support these students' success with writing projects and their development as writers. Accommodations may include using a Reduced Distraction room to minimize noise and distraction; students do not need a letter from SSD to request this accommodation. All students are allowed one consultation per day. They need to upload an accommodation letter to book more than one appointment per day. Appropriate accommodations do not include actually doing any work on student products such as researching or typing for students. If you have questions about accommodations we offer, check <http://uwc.utexas.edu/accessibility/>, or consult with Alice or an APC.

Online Consultations

As of spring 2020, the UWC offers online consultations for all students. Online consultations are conducted through Zoom. Additional instructions can be found on the UWC website at <http://uwc.utexas.edu/consultants/online-consultation-instructions> and <http://uwc.utexas.edu/how-we-help/uwc-employees/instructions-for-online-front-desk/>.

If you have difficulty with any of the steps, please call the front desk at 512-471-6222 or use the online chat for assistance.

Final Note on Consulting Strategies

Remember that these strategies have been developed through years of collective experience at the UWC but that they are ultimately just guidelines. Since every writer and every writing situation is unique, no set formula will be effective in every consultation.

Your job as a consultant is to help the writer apply their personal writing process to the demands of the given situation. That may require a change in their writing process, or it may just require reframing the

situation for the writer in order to highlight points of connection they may have missed. Some writers crave structure and may struggle with an assignment that calls for them to create their own topic and approach to that topic; these writers will benefit from being shown how they can break the creative process down into manageable chunks based on what instructions have been provided. Other writers bristle at assignments that seem to them overly directive and will benefit from being shown ways they can express themselves creatively within the guidelines of the assignment.

As long as you remember our three core principles of being non-evaluative, maintaining the writer's ownership of the text, and being sensitive to the writer's emotional investment in the writing process, you have leeway to adapt your approach to the needs of each individual writer and situation.

Chapter Four: Completing the Consultation Record

Steps to Complete the Consultation Record

Once you have finished a consultation, go to the intake notification email you received when the student arrived and click on the “edit submission” link to access the note. Fill out this form the same day as the appointment.

Indicating Mode of Consultation

In this section, answer yes or no to the question, “Was this an online consultation?”

Indicating Type of Paper

In this section, you may select the type of paper you worked on with your consultee.

Indicating Certification Topic

In this section, select which certification topic (if any) your consultation counts towards and briefly describe why.

Indicating Kinds of Work Done

In this section, you should click on the boxes of the terms provided to indicate which topics you worked on during the consultation. Try to be as accurate and as thorough as possible.

Comments to the Admin Team

If something came up in your consultation that you would like to share with the admin team, positive or negative, you may do so in this section. Your note will then go to both Alice and the APCs. This section is

also for reporting if you felt consultees were required to come to the UWC by their instructor and for requesting additional support.

Completing the Brief Description of Work Done (the “Note”)

Describing the consultation thoroughly and accurately is especially important not only to ensure the usefulness of our records but also to provide students with a useful reminder of the work they did during a consultation (and, if the student requests it, to inform instructors about their students’ efforts to develop their writing). Give a useful description, not an evaluation, of what happened in the session, one that incorporates the student’s perceptions as well as your own and that makes clear to the instructor what work was conducted during the consultation. It is helpful to mention the paper topic or the name of the assignment involved. Hereafter the Description of Work Done will be called a “note,” regardless of whether it will be sent to an instructor.

Why Write Notes?

Notes have three primary purposes:

1. *Record Keeping for the UWC and for Yourself.* We analyze our services to improve them and to argue for their continued necessity. If a student or instructor has questions later about a consultation it is often useful for you to be able to return to your own record.
2. *Reminders for Students.* During consultations, students often make important decisions regarding their writing process and their papers. Notes provide students with basic reminders of the decisions they made during their consultations. Ideally, these reminders help students take the work they did during a consultation and continue it on their own.
3. *Outreach to Instructors.* Students have the opportunity to request that their instructors receive copies of notes. Notes make the Writing Center’s process transparent to instructors and help them to understand our services better. They also help the consulting process remain a three-way collaboration between the student, the consultant, and the instructor. Notes also let instructors know where a student’s sometimes dramatic “new direction” came from. Finally, they indicate students have voluntarily sought help outside of class.

The Ideal Note

The ideal note is a concise (in most cases no longer than a paragraph), specific, non-evaluative description of the session you just had with the student that illustrates the collaborative, student-led nature of the consultation. This is sometimes difficult to accomplish. We’ll address some specific challenges below (“Common Note Writing Challenges”), but in general, it is helpful to remember two things. First, the use

of “we” helps convey collaboration, as does stating what the student did and what you did. Second, a specific description of what happened (“You decided that you would do additional research before writing your paper.”) is much more helpful for both record keeping and instructor outreach than evaluative statements (“You were really engaged and committed to making your paper better.”).

Keep in mind that instructors may receive copies of these notes. As instructors and consultants, we would be remiss if we were not enthusiastic about students. But if you tell a student that they are a great writer and well-prepared and the instructor disagrees, the student (and sometimes the UWC) has to take the brunt of the instructor’s displeasure. We can never be sure we are getting the whole picture when we meet with students, and we have to be careful to respect the instructor’s decisions.

A Suggested Note Template

As in the consultation itself, begin by reporting on global issues or higher-order concerns such as understanding the assignment, content, revision, and re-structuring, and then work your way down to local issues and lower-order concerns such as grammar, punctuation, and style. Most consultants develop their own personal templates after a while, but it is usually some version of the ones below.

All you need to write is the body of the e-mail to the student summarizing what occurred during the consultation. This text is put into a template email that includes a salutation and a sign off with your name pre-populated, so it is not necessary for you to include a salutation or sign off in the note you write.

The Student’s Agenda. You said you wanted to work on “flow” in your essay on the effects of alcoholism in the 18th Century British navy. (If you don’t remember the exact assignment/author name, it’s OK to be general.)

The Global Tasks Actually Performed. We read through your paper together and decided that the most important things to tackle were clarifying your thesis and improving your paragraph structure. We did some brainstorming together while I took notes, and then we worked together on re-structuring your first few paragraphs.

Any Local Tasks Performed. Along the way we discussed the use of passive voice and worked on reducing redundancy.

Any Tasks You Felt Were Important but Did Not Have Time to Address or That the Writer Was Not Ready to Address. Although we recognized that your draft had numerous grammatical errors, we decided to tackle your major re-writing first and leave sentence-level work for a future consultation.

The Next Steps, If Any, the Writer Will Perform and Any Final Recommendations You May Have Made. You left saying you were confident you could restructure the rest of your paragraphs on your own and then polish your thesis to match the resulting structure. I suggested that you e-mail your new thesis to your instructor to make sure they felt it satisfied your assignment.

And That Is It. There is no need for any ending flourishes (no “I really enjoyed working with you ...”). Proofread your note and click “Submit.”

Common Note-Writing Challenges

We did so much. How do I write about all of it?

You do not have to. Try prioritizing according to the suggestions above and using qualifiers like “primarily” or “mainly” to let the reader know other things took place. You might also think about which parts of the consultation are most likely to change the student’s paper in a way that will catch the attention of the instructor—again, global issues are likely to take precedence over local issues.

The student was working so hard. How can I let the instructor know without evaluating? Try reporting on the specific things the student was doing to give you a positive impression rather than praising the student. For example, “You brought in your third version of your rough draft and showed me half a dozen articles you had found to supplement your research,” rather than “You were obviously working really hard.”

The paper was really good. How can I let the instructor know?

You can’t. It’s not your job to evaluate; it’s the instructor’s. Simply report what you have done, and leave it at that.

The student was very passive/resistant during the consultation.

Take a deep breath, and then report on what you *recommended*, rather than what you actually accomplished. The phrases “I suggested” and “I recommended” will be very useful to you.

The instructor’s comments/assignment sheets were confusing/incomplete.

Take another deep breath, and then try one or all of the following: you might report (if true) that you suggested the student go back to the professor to clarify the assignment or comments. You may politely admit your own confusion (“Although I was unsure I understood the nature of the comment ...”) and report that you gave it your best guess. In some cases, you may also report on the consultation without mentioning the professor’s comments or assignment.

Appendix 1: Getting Your Questions Answered

If you have a question about . . .

talk to . . .

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| • Your appointment or schedule . . . | Michele or Alice |
| • UWC policy . . . | Admin staff or the handbook |
| • Our computers . . . | Michele |
| • The copier or printer . . . | Michele or Emma Watson |
| • Time sheets . . . | Michele |
| • Consultation Practice . . . | APCs or fellow consultants |
| • Appointment in Workday.... | Michele |

If you want to . . .

you should . . .

- | | |
|--|--|
| • Take a brief break between consultations . . . | ask Emma Watson or the front desk person |
| • Call in sick . . . | submit an absence form online (and call/chat the front desk if you're requesting an absence for the same day) |
| • Debrief after a consultation . . . | talk to an APC or Alice--or Tristin if you consult with Graduate students |
| • Do a collaborative consultation or be observed . . . | talk to Emma Watson |
| • Propose an idea or project . . . | talk to an APC |

Appendix 2: Selected Readings on Writing Center Theory and Practice

The following resources are located in the professional development section of the UWC library. We especially recommend the introduction to Covino and Jolliffe's *Rhetoric Concepts, Definitions, and Boundaries* entitled "What is Rhetoric?"

Babcock, Rebecca Day and Sharifa Daniels. *Writing Centers and Disability*. Fountainhead Press, 2017.

Barnett, Robert W. and Jacob S. Blumner. *The Allyn and Bacon Guide to Writing Center Theory and Practice*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 2001.

Belcher, Diane and George Braine, eds. *Academic Writing in a Second Language: Essays on Research & Pedagogy*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex, 1995.

Boquet, Elizabeth H. *Noise from the Writing Center*. Logan, Utah: Utah State UP, 2002.

Brown, Stuart C, and Theresa Enos, eds. *The Writing Program Administrator's Resource*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers, 2002.

Campbell, Cherry. *Teaching Second-Language Writing: Interacting with Text*. New York: Heinle & Heinle, 1998.

Carino, Peter. "Theorizing the Writing Center: An Uneasy Task." *Dialogue: A Journal for Writing Specialists* 2.1 (1995): 23–27. Rpt. in *The Allyn and Bacon Guide to Writing Center Theory and Practice*. 124–138.

Covino, William and David A. Jolliffe. *Rhetoric: Concepts, Definitions, and Boundaries*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1995.

Ede, Lisa, ed. *On Writing Research: The Braddock Essays 1975-1998*. New York: Bedford/St. Martin's, 1999.

Fitzgerald, Lauren and Melissa Ianetta. *The Oxford Guide for Writing Tutors*. Oxford: Oxford UP, 2016.

Gillespie, Paula and Neal Lerner. *The Allyn and Bacon Guide to Peer Tutoring*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 2000. (Also known as the *Longman Guide to Peer Tutoring*.)

- Gillespie, Paula, et al., eds. *Writing Center Research, Extending the Conversation*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers, 2002.
- Greenfield, Laura and Karen Rowan. *Writing Centers and the New Racism*. Logan, Utah State UP, 2011.
- Harris, Muriel. *Teaching One-to-One: The Writing Conference*. Urbana, Illinois: National Council of Teachers, 1986.
- Hobson, Eric H. "Writing Center Practice Often Counters Its Theory. So What?" *Intersections: Theory and Practice in the Writing Center*. Joan Mullin and Ray Wallace, eds. Urbana, Ill.: National Council of Teachers of English, 1994. 1–12.
- Leki, Ilona. *Understanding ESL Writers: A Guide for Teachers*. Portsmouth, New Hampshire: Heinemann, 1992.
- Lerner, Janet. *Learning Disabilities: Theories, Diagnosis, and Teaching Strategies*. 8th ed. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 2000.
- McCall, William. "Writing Centers and the Idea of Consultancy." *The Writing Center Journal*. 14.2 (1994): 162-171.
- Meyer, Emily, and Louise Z. Smith. *The Practical Tutor*. New York: Oxford UP, 1987.
- Myers-Breslin, Linda, ed. *Administrative Problem-Solving for Writing Programs and Writing Centers*. Urbana, Illinois: National Council of Teachers of English, 1999.
- Neff, Julie. "Learning Disabilities and the Writing Center." *Intersections: Theory and Practice in the Writing Center*. 81–95.
- North, Stephen. "Revisiting 'The Idea of a Writing Center.'" *The Writing Center Journal* 15.1 (1994): 7–19. Rpt. in *The Allyn and Bacon Guide to Writing Center Theory and Practice*. Robert W. Barnett and Jacob S. Blumner, eds. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 2001. 79–91.
- North, Stephen. "The Idea of a Writing Center." *College English* 46 (1984): 433–46.
- Rafoth, Ben. *Multilingual Writers and Writing Centers*. Logan: Utah State UP, 2015
- Ryan, Leigh. *The Bedford Guide for Writing Tutors*. 3rd ed. Boston: Bedford/St. Martin's, 2002.
- Swan, Michael and Bernard Smith, eds. *Learner English*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 2001.
- Writing Center Journal*. International Writing Centers Association.

Young, Vershawn Ashanti. "Should Writers Use They Own English?" *Iowa Journal of Cultural Studies* 12(1), 110-117. doi: <https://doi.org/10.17077/2168-569X.1095>